RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 4 2005

Slater & Matsil, L.L.P.

17950 Preston Road Dallas, Texas 75252-5793

Phone: 972-732-1001 Facsimile: 972-732-9218

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

To: Examiner Thanh Y. Tran

Total Pages Sent:

3

Technology Center 2800

9727329218

(including cover sheet)

Facsimile Number: 571-273-8300

Transmission Date:

October 14, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Haupt

Docket No.:

INTECH 3.0-104

(2003 P 51718 US)

Serial No:

10/766,053

Art Unit:

2822

Date Filed:

January 28, 2004

Title:

Method for N+ Doping of Amorphous Silicon and Polysilicon Electrodes in

Deep Trenches

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following papers are being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300 on the date shown above:

- Certification of Facsimile Transmission (1 page)
- Election (2 pages)

Respectfully submitted,

Confirmation Respectfully Requested

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the address named and contains legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this telecopy, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this communication is strictly prohibited. Applicable privileges are not waived by virtue of the document having been transmitted by Facsimile. Any misdirected facsimiles should be returned to the sender by mail at the address indicated on this cover sheet.

RECEIVED

GENTRAL FAX CENTER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OCT 1 4 2005

Applicant:

Haupt

Docket No.:

INTECH 3.0-104

Serial No.:

10/766,053

Art Unit:

(2003 P 51718 US) 2822

Filed:

1/28/2004

Examiner:

Thanh Y. Tran

Title:

Method for N+ Doping of Amorphous Silicon and Polysilicon Electrodes in Deep

Trenches

Date:

October 14, 2005

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ELECTION

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed on September 14, 2005, the Applicant hereby elects Species I, including claims 1-27, for further prosecution in the above patent application. Claims I and 18 are generic claims.

The Restriction Requirement further requested that election be made between process a) the "in situ" process as recited in dependent claims 14 and 24, and process b), the "ex-situ" process as recited in dependent claims 15 and 25. Applicant provisionally elects process a), the "in-situ" process. However, Applicant submits that this Restriction Requirement is improper. The generic claims 1 and 18 include both of the "process" species identified by the Examiner, and 37 C.F.R. §1.141 clearly authorizes more than one species, not to exceed a reasonable number, provided the application also includes an allowable generic claim and the claims to

INTECH 3.0-104 (2003 P 51718 US)

1 of 2

Election

species in excess of one are written in dependent form. Thus, Applicant believes there is no burden on the Examiner that requires further restriction of the claims elected.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark E. Courtney Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 36,491

Slater & Matsil, L.L.P. 17950 Preston Rd. Suite 1000 Dailas, TX 75252-5793 972-732-1001 - Tel 972-732-9218 - Fax